

The Ant and The Termite

Dinesh D'Souza

To understand the central divide in American politics, it helps for us to think about the distinction between the ant and the termite. Now the ant is very industrious. I've been reading the Harvard scholar E.O. Wilson ([Of Ants and Earth](#)), an authority on ants, and he points out that the ant can be an individualist, but at the same time, ants like to work together, they will cooperate voluntarily to haul food. Wilson notes that you wanna be careful in dealing with the ant; the ant is a leave-me-alone type of guy. Ants don't like you to mess with them.

Now the termite, by contrast, is not so much of a builder; the termite is really a destroyer. I've been reading an authority on termites, Saul Alinsky, in his book "[Rules for Radicals](#)," and he points out that termites need to be no less industrious than ants in accomplishing termite objectives. Now, it's easy to be dismissive of the termite, and consider the termite in a purely negative light, but try to look at the world from the point of view of the termite. If termites could talk, they would call what they do, progress.

A short lesson in politics: There are certain groups in the U.S.A. political arena that are called "Progressives," such as in the two main political parties: the Democrats, and many in the Republican Party. These "Progressives" are backed by both the DNC (Democrat National Convention) and the RNC (Republican National Convention), the mainstream supporters of these parties. They are also supported by big-government Hollywood elitists and performers of all stripes, big-government establishment politicians from around the world, as well as financiers, such as the likes of atheist George Soros.

Every progressive wants to progress past the U.S.A. Constitution, thus the name. After all, in their own words "it is outdated," or something. They use self-explanatory phrases like "social change," "social justice," and "social engineering," and seek large entitlement programs to undermine the original intentions for "Americanism"; "Americanism" contends that every person anywhere has "unalienable rights to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness" that God Almighty himself gave to mankind, and thus are "unalienable" (Please note: I am not preaching for or against Christianity here; but at the same time, I am sharing the thoughts of the founding fathers and how they contrived such terminology); these rights cannot be taken away ("A government big enough to give you everything you want is also big enough to take away everything you have."), and are therefore confirmed by the God-fearing founding fathers through the Declaration of Independence—this does not mean that you will find all of it, or are guaranteed any of it, but it does mean that you, as an individual, have the right to try to pursue your dreams unfettered.

For Progressives, the first order of the day is to raze the USA's might and wealth to "spread it around (the world)" to those less successful individuals and economies which

inevitably do not follow Judeo-Christian teachings, or the laws of "Basic Economics" (ref: "Basic Economics" by Thomas Sowell); this is their intent, and is a phrase Obama campaigned on, spreading the wealth. On the other hand, to understand either Judeo-Christian values or the values as illustrated in "Basic Economics" would guarantee a pretty successful economic foundation for peoples anywhere, but yet these standards are most often either misapplied or ignored to a large degree. Why?

Well, the need to spread wealth where wealth is insufficient is only the Marxist selling point, of course; George Soros' foundations, the United Nations, and a long host of other ponzi schemes, for instance, capitalize on the unwitting. Donating to such organizations? Of course the first hand of business is to well feed their own, and then spread ... the puny leftovers to some forsaken place in no man's land. Please refer to the following documentaries to better understand how this progressive process works in the United States, as well as globally: "Agenda – Grinding down America," and "Agenda 21."

They also refer to the U.S. of A. as a "Democracy," which it is not, and in fact, the founding fathers knew that Democracies "...have in general been short in their lives as they have been violent in their deaths," James Madison writes. Karl Marx agreed with our founding fathers, stating "Democracy is the road to Socialism," as well as did Vladimir Lenin, to coin his commonly known phrase, "The goal of Socialism is Communism." Therefore, if one were inclined to follow this logic, we can conclude that "Progressivism" equals the path to "Socialism," which equals the path to "Communism"; Simply put, "Progressivism" equals "Communism" in progress. This is the essence of the American divide that Dinesh D'Souza is talking about.

In fact, every one of the recent U.S. presidents, presidential candidates, and most congressmen and senators have wrongly proclaimed that our Republic is a "Democracy" in speeches and elsewhere, including the modern day epitome of conservatism, Ronald Reagan. Replace the word "Democracy" with "Republic" in Reagan's speeches, and they would be far better received by those of us who know the difference.

The founding fathers did everything they could to keep us from having a "Democracy" because they knew that it is an inherently vicious form of government. They not only criticized this form of government heavily, but they did everything they could to keep it out of the United States, and out of its constitution. Not only does the word "Democracy" not exist in the U.S. Constitution, but it does not appear in any of the state constitutions either. To further better understand this, please refer to the embedded video and .pdf file titled "[What is America's True Form of Government](#)," under "[Economics](#) on my website."

"Progressivism," as termites would define it, and as "Progressive Activists" have defined it, means to destroy the foundation of America first, because America stands in the way of progress. "Progressives" often say that America should be rebuilt, but they never define exactly what they are going to rebuild it to, or with, after so little would

remain after they dismantle it; this is why Progressives never have solutions based on critical thinking or logic.

Vocabulary List

the central divide = a központi szakadék

distinction = megkülönböztetés,
különbség

industrious = szorgalmas, buzgó,
iparkodó, törekvő

individualist = egyénieskedő

cooperate voluntarily to haul food =
együttműködnek önkéntesen szállítani
élelmiszert

wanna be = want to be

leave-me-alone = Hagyjon engem békén

don't mess with them = Ne húzz velük
ujjat!

to be dismissive = lenni elutasító,

in a negative light = egy negatív fényben

mainstream media = többségi médiában

of all stripes = minden csíkokkal

Constitution = Alkotmány

self-explanatory = önmagát magyarázó

social justice = társadalmi igazságtételre

entitlement = jogosultság

undermine = aláás

contend = állít, civódik

unalienable rights = elidegeníthetetlen
jogok

contrived = feltalál, kieszél, kigondol

to be confirmed by = igazoltat,
megerősíteni

the Declaration of Independence = A
Függetlenségi Nyilatkozat

pursue your dreams unfettered =
folytatni az álmaid korlátozásoktól
mentes

the first order of the day = az első
dologra, amire szükséges a
menüpontokban egy bizonyos
időpontban.

to raze the might = földig lerombolni a
erejét

inevitably = elkerülhetetlenül

insufficient = elégtelen

a host of = egy csomó, eg sereg

ponzi scheme = koszt kamatcsalás,
piramisjáték

the unwitting = az oktalan, tudatlan

the puny leftovers = a nagyon apró
maradványok

a foresaken place = egy elhagyott hely

no man's land = a senki földje

founding fathers = alapító atyák

to coin the phrase = alkotni a kifejezést

one = valaki

inclined to follow this logic = hajlandó
követni ezt a logikát követve

conclude = elhatároz

the path to = elérési útját

epitome = megtestesítője, vezérfona

inherently vicious = eredendően gonosz

embedded = beágyazott

dismantle = szétszerelni